



Exposing Social Injustice through Satirical Discourse in Jonathan Swift's "A Modest Proposal"

Abdullayeva Iroda Murotovna^{1*}

¹Department of Integrated English Course No. 3 Uzbekistan State World Languages University, Uzbekistan

*irodaabdullayeva079@gmail.com

Abstract

Jonathan Swift's "A Modest Proposal" (1729) remains one of the most powerful examples of political satire in English literature. Written during a period of severe economic hardship and political oppression in Ireland, the essay uses shocking exaggeration and irony to criticize the indifference of British authorities and privileged social classes toward the suffering of the poor. This article examines the satirical strategies employed by Swift to expose moral hypocrisy, economic exploitation, and the dehumanization of impoverished Irish citizens. The study focuses on the relationship between rational economic discourse and ethical responsibility, demonstrating how Swift deliberately adopts the language of political reformers in order to reveal the cruelty hidden beneath supposedly logical solutions. The research applies qualitative textual analysis to selected passages from "A Modest Proposal". Particular attention is given to irony, hyperbole, economic vocabulary, and imagery associated with livestock and consumption. The article also explores how Swift positions readers as moral participants rather than passive observers. Through this approach, the study argues that the essay functions not only as literary satire but also as a broader ethical critique of social systems that prioritize profit over humanity. The findings demonstrate that Swift's satirical method derives its effectiveness from the contrast between calm logical narration and horrifying content. The essay ultimately exposes the dangers of separating reason from compassion and challenges readers to confront their own responses to injustice. The article contributes to satire studies by analyzing "A Modest Proposal" as both a literary and moral interrogation of political rationality.

Key words: satire, irony, social injustice, Jonathan Swift, moral hypocrisy, political criticism.

Introduction

Jonathan Swift's "A Modest Proposal" is widely recognized as one of the most influential satirical essays in English literary history. First published anonymously in 1729, the essay emerged during a period of economic instability, colonial domination, and extreme poverty in Ireland. Swift's text proposes that impoverished Irish families should sell their children as food for wealthy citizens. Although the proposal is intentionally horrifying, the essay was designed not as a literal solution but as a sharp criticism of political indifference and social exploitation. Satire has historically functioned as a literary tool for criticizing political corruption, social injustice, and moral hypocrisy.

Unlike direct political argument, satire exposes social problems indirectly through irony, exaggeration, parody, and contradiction. In Swift's case, the shocking nature of the proposal forces readers to confront the cruelty already embedded within existing economic and political systems. The essay demonstrates how societies can normalize suffering when human beings are viewed primarily through economic value.

Scholars frequently identify "A Modest Proposal" as an example of Juvenalian satire because of its bitter tone, moral anger, and aggressive criticism of society. Rather than entertaining readers through light humor, Swift uses discomfort and shock to provoke ethical reflection. The narrator's detached and rational voice imitates the language of economists and reformers of the eighteenth century, thereby exposing the moral emptiness behind supposedly rational public policies. The continued relevance of "A Modest Proposal" explains why the text remains central to literary criticism and political discourse today. Modern societies continue to debate issues related to poverty, inequality, exploitation, and the ethics of economic policy. Consequently, Swift's essay remains significant not only as a historical document but also as a timeless critique of systems that prioritize efficiency over human dignity.

Numerous studies have explored irony, satire, and political criticism in "A Modest Proposal". Existing scholarship often focuses on Swift's use of hyperbole, the historical condition of Ireland, or the essay's rhetorical structure. However, fewer studies examine how the text operates simultaneously as a moral interrogation of readers themselves. Many analyses emphasize the historical context of colonial oppression while paying less attention to the ethical relationship established between narrator and audience. Additionally, some previous studies discuss satire primarily as a literary technique rather than as a mechanism for exposing the dangers of excessive rationalism detached from compassion. There is also limited discussion concerning how Swift transforms economic discourse into a form of moral violence through the language of calculation, trade, and consumption. This article attempts to address these gaps by examining "A Modest Proposal" as a text that forces readers into active ethical judgment. Rather than treating satire merely as stylistic exaggeration, the study investigates how Swift uses rational language itself as an instrument of criticism.

This study contributes to existing scholarship by analyzing "A Modest Proposal" through the interaction of satire, economic discourse, and moral responsibility. The article specifically focuses on how Swift constructs ethical discomfort through the contrast between logical narration and inhumane content. Unlike studies that primarily emphasize historical background, this article explores how the essay manipulates reader participation and moral interpretation. The analysis also demonstrates how economic vocabulary and animal imagery contribute to the dehumanization of the poor, thereby strengthening the satirical critique.

The primary objective of this study is to analyze how Jonathan Swift employs satire to expose social injustice and moral hypocrisy in "A Modest Proposal". The article addresses the following research questions: 1. How does Swift use irony and exaggeration to criticize political and economic systems? 2. In what ways does the essay dehumanize the poor through economic and animal-related language? 3. How does Swift position readers as participants in ethical judgment? 4. Why does the satirical method remain socially relevant in contemporary contexts?

Literature Review

The theoretical foundation of this article is based on satire theory, particularly the concept of Juvenalian satire. Juvenalian satire is characterized by moral indignation, harsh criticism, and pessimistic representation of society. Unlike Horatian satire, which employs gentle humor and amusement, Juvenalian satire seeks to disturb readers and expose corruption through bitterness and exaggeration. In "A Modest Proposal", Swift adopts a calm and rational narrative voice while presenting an obviously monstrous suggestion. This contradiction between tone and content generates irony and forces the reader to recognize the moral failure underlying the narrator's logic.

Conceptual definitions consist of some terms. Satire refers to a literary mode that criticizes individuals, institutions, or societies through irony, exaggeration, parody, or ridicule. Its purpose is often corrective, encouraging readers to recognize social or moral flaws. Irony occurs when the intended meaning differs from the literal meaning expressed in the text. In Swift's essay, the narrator appears rational and compassionate while proposing horrifying actions. Moral hypocrisy refers to the contradiction between ethical claims and actual behavior. Swift exposes how political leaders claim to support social welfare while neglecting the suffering of the poor. Dehumanization involves reducing individuals to objects, commodities, or animals. The repeated comparison of children to livestock in "A Modest Proposal" demonstrates this process.

Previous scholarship has emphasized the political dimension of Swift's satire. Some researchers focus on British colonial exploitation of Ireland, while others analyze rhetorical techniques such as irony and hyperbole. Ladapo (2021) argues that Swift deliberately uses animal-related language to reveal the loss of humanity within society. Other scholars examine the economic language of the essay and its relationship to eighteenth-century political discourse. Despite these contributions, relatively limited attention has been devoted to the ethical role of readers within the satirical structure. This article expands previous discussions by focusing on how the essay transforms readers into moral evaluators of both the narrator and society itself.

Method

Study Design

This study employs a qualitative literary analysis design. Qualitative textual analysis is appropriate because the research focuses on interpretation, symbolism, language, and thematic meaning rather than numerical measurement.

Study Material

The primary source analyzed in this study is Jonathan Swift's "A Modest Proposal" (1729). Secondary sources include scholarly articles, literary criticism, and theoretical works related to satire, irony, and political discourse.

Data Collection Procedure

Relevant passages from the essay were selected according to their thematic connection to satire, economic language, irony, dehumanization, and moral criticism. Secondary scholarly sources were reviewed to support theoretical interpretation and contextual discussion.

Data Analysis

The analysis was conducted through close reading and thematic interpretation. Particular attention was given to: ironic contradictions; economic calculations and statistics; animal imagery; narrative voice; ethical implications of rational discourse. The selected passages were interpreted within the broader historical and political context of eighteenth-century Ireland.

Ethical Consideration

This study is based entirely on published literary texts and secondary academic materials. Therefore, no human participants or confidential data were involved.

Results

Satire as Political Criticism

One of the most significant findings of this study is that Swift's satire primarily targets political indifference rather than poverty itself. Although the essay appears to discuss overpopulation and economic hardship, the true object of criticism is the ruling class and its failure to recognize the humanity of the poor. The narrator's calm calculations imitate official economic discourse. By presenting children as market commodities, Swift exposes the cruelty hidden beneath rational political language. The shocking proposal functions as an exaggerated reflection of existing social attitudes toward impoverished Irish citizens.

Swift deliberately constructs the narrator as a representative of educated reformers and policymakers who rely excessively on economic reasoning while ignoring ethical responsibility. Throughout the essay, the narrator repeatedly focuses on statistics, calculations, and financial benefits. This approach creates an illusion of logic and professionalism; however, the apparent rationality becomes deeply disturbing because human life is reduced to numerical and commercial value. The satire therefore criticizes systems of governance that prioritize economic productivity over compassion. Swift suggests that political authorities often discuss poverty abstractly without acknowledging the emotional and moral suffering experienced by real individuals. The poor are treated as social burdens rather than as human beings deserving dignity and care. Furthermore, the proposal indirectly criticizes colonial exploitation in Ireland. The wealthy classes benefit from the labor and suffering of impoverished citizens while remaining emotionally detached from their conditions. Through exaggeration, Swift reveals that society has already normalized a form of moral violence long before the narrator presents his shocking proposal. Another important aspect of the satire is the narrator's false patriotism. He repeatedly claims that the proposal will benefit the nation, improve the economy, and solve social problems. However, these patriotic claims are deeply ironic because the proposed solution destroys the humanity of the very people supposedly being protected. Swift therefore exposes how political rhetoric can disguise cruelty under the language of national progress and reform.

Irony and Moral Discomfort

Another important finding is the role of irony in generating ethical discomfort. Swift does not openly condemn the narrator's proposal. Instead, readers must recognize the horror independently. This strategy transforms readers into active moral participants. The contrast between logical tone and violent content intensifies the satirical effect. Statements describing children as "delicious" food create emotional shock precisely because the narrator speaks without visible emotion. The irony becomes even more powerful because the narrator consistently presents himself as compassionate, intelligent, and socially responsible. He claims to care about poor families and national prosperity while simultaneously recommending cannibalism as a reasonable solution. This contradiction forces readers to confront the absurdity hidden beneath apparently civilized and rational discourse. Swift intentionally avoids emotional language and direct moral judgment. Instead of openly expressing anger, he allows the horrifying implications of the

proposal to speak for themselves. This technique increases the psychological impact of the satire because readers are required to interpret the ethical meaning independently.

The emotional discomfort created by the essay is central to its effectiveness. Readers become disturbed not only because of the proposal itself, but also because the narrator discusses it with complete calmness and confidence. The absence of emotional reaction exposes the danger of intellectual systems that separate logic from compassion. In addition, irony enables Swift to criticize political institutions indirectly. Rather than openly attacking specific individuals, he imitates the language and reasoning of policymakers. By exaggerating their logic to an extreme degree, Swift exposes the hidden brutality within systems that claim to be rational and progressive. The essay therefore demonstrates that irony is not merely a literary device but also a powerful ethical tool. It forces readers to question how language may normalize injustice and how societies become comfortable with suffering when it is presented in logical or economic terms.

Dehumanization Through Economic and Animal Language

The study also demonstrates how Swift uses animal-related terminology to dehumanize impoverished individuals intentionally. Words associated with breeding, livestock, and cooking reduce children to consumable products. This linguistic strategy reflects broader political systems that evaluate human beings according to productivity and economic usefulness. Through this imagery, Swift criticizes societies that treat vulnerable populations as disposable. Throughout the essay, children are repeatedly described using the language normally associated with agriculture and animal husbandry. The narrator discusses “breeding,” weight, consumption, and preparation methods as though he were speaking about livestock rather than human beings. Such language intentionally removes emotional connection and individual identity.

This dehumanization reflects the attitudes already present within exploitative political and economic systems. Swift exaggerates these attitudes in order to expose their moral consequences. By transforming children into objects of trade and consumption, he reveals how societies may unconsciously strip vulnerable people of humanity when economic concerns become more important than ethical responsibility. The cooking imagery used in the essay also intensifies the satirical horror. References to roasting, boiling, or stewing create strong visual and emotional reactions in readers. Ordinary domestic vocabulary becomes deeply disturbing because it is applied to infants rather than animals. Moreover, Swift’s use of economic terminology reflects the influence of utilitarian thinking in political discourse. Human life is valued only according to usefulness and profitability. The narrator calculates how many children may be sold, how much money families may earn, and how landlords may benefit economically. Through these calculations, Swift demonstrates how language itself can become a mechanism of dehumanization. The essay therefore presents dehumanization not simply as individual cruelty, but as a structural social problem supported by politics, economics, and public attitudes. Swift suggests that societies become morally dangerous when people are viewed primarily through financial value instead of human dignity.

Reader Complicity and Ethical Judgment

The analysis further reveals that Swift implicates readers within the satirical structure. Readers who respond with detached curiosity risk becoming morally complicit in the very attitudes criticized by the essay. As a result, “A Modest Proposal” functions not merely as political commentary but also as an ethical challenge directed toward audiences themselves. Swift carefully manipulates readers by encouraging them to follow the narrator’s reasoning before fully recognizing its horrifying implications. The narrator anticipates objections, provides calculations, and presents evidence in a highly organized manner. This rhetorical structure temporarily invites readers into the logic of the proposal. Once readers recognize the true implications of the argument, they are forced to confront their own reactions. Swift therefore transforms reading into a moral experience. The audience cannot remain emotionally neutral because the essay continuously challenges ethical boundaries. The satire also raises uncomfortable questions regarding passive observation. Swift suggests that people who ignore injustice or discuss suffering only theoretically may become indirectly responsible for the continuation of oppression. Readers are encouraged to reflect not only on the narrator’s cruelty but also on their own social attitudes toward poverty and inequality. This aspect of the essay helps explain its enduring relevance. Although written in the eighteenth century, “A Modest Proposal” continues to resonate because modern societies still debate social problems through statistics, economics, and political rhetoric while often neglecting the human realities behind those discussions. Consequently, Swift’s satire functions simultaneously as literary criticism, political protest, and ethical interrogation. The essay forces readers to recognize that intellectual reasoning without compassion may become morally destructive.

Bibliography

Islam, F. (2020). *Literary devices used in “A Modest Proposal” of Jonathan Swift*. Academia.edu. https://www.academia.edu/44243610/Literary_Devices_Used_in_A_Modest_Proposal_of_Jonathan_Swift

- Ladapo, F. (2021). *Jonathan Swift's registers in A Modest Proposal*. Research on Humanities and Social Sciences, 11(16), 29–35. <https://doi.org/10.7176/RHSS/11-16-04>
- Swift, J. (1729). *A modest proposal*. ReadWriteThink. https://www.readwritethink.org/sites/default/files/resources/lesson_images/lesson1114/A_Modest_Proposal.pdf